# **Orange County Bridge Review Summary**

Dokken Engineering performed a field review of the Orange County bridge listed below in April 2017 to identify maintenance activities eligible for Caltrans' Bridge Preventive Maintenance Program (BPMP), dated December 2015, funding. Additional maintenance activities, if present, not eligible for BPMP funding were also noted. Maintenance recommendations, if noted in the most recent Caltrans Bridge Inspection Report (BIR), were confirmed.

**Bridge Number: 55C0168** 

**Bridge Name:** Handy Creek **Year Built:** 1937

# Facility Carried: Amapola Avenue

The Handy Creek Bridge at Amapola Avenue is a simply supported two span timber stringers and timber deck with a timber treated post bent and a treated timber post abutment. All timber is treated Douglas fir.

# Caltrans BIR recommendations:

Replace the deteriorated timber planks.

# **Field Inspection Observations**

- There is excessive AC on the bridge about 4"-6" thick. Recommend no additional AC overlay.
- Missing P-markers at the southeast end of bridge (photo).
- Debris builds up on pier. Recommend clearing debris from pier.
- There was limited access to the substructure due to 2-4ft of standing water.
- Unable to confirm if timber planks are deteriorating.

# **Maintenance Needs Assessment**

# **BPMP** Assessment

Repair timber planks

#### <u>General Maintenance – Non-BPMP</u>

 Recommend additional AC thickness not increased. If new pavement is needed, existing pavement should be removed.

# **Proposed BPMP Construction Costs**

 Replace timber planks ≈\$25,000, will require existing AC to be removed and replaced (includes engineering, mobilization and contingency)

# Construction Items Not Funded by BPMP

• Replace P-marker

# **APPENDIX A**

**Photos and BIR** 



Photo 1:



Missing P-marker

Photo 2: Bridge



Debris build up

Photo 3: Debris build up on timber columns



Photo 4:



DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Structure Maintenance & Investigations

Bridge Inspection Report

Bridge Number : 55C0168

Facility Carried: AMAPOLA AVENUE

Location : 0.2 MI E/O ORANGE PK BLV

City

Inspection Date : 08/07/2015

Inspection Type

Routine FC Underwater Special Other

Х

#### CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

STRUCTURE NAME: HANDY CREEK

Year Built : 1937 Year Widened: N/A Length (m) : 8.5 Skew (degrees): 0
No. of Joints: 0
No. of Hinges: 0

Structure Description: Simply supported two span timber stringers (19 each) and timber deck

with a timber treated timber post (6 each) bent and a treated timber post (6 each) abutments, all supported upon treated timber sills.

All timber treated Douglas Fir.

Span Configuration : (W) 2 @ 4.0 m (E) c/c

#### SAFE LOAD CAPACITY AND RATINGS

Design Live Load: UNKNOWN

Inventory Rating: RF=0.54 =>17.5 metric tons Calculation Method: ALLOWABLE STRESS Operating Rating: RF=0.77 =>24.9 metric tons Calculation Method: ALLOWABLE STRESS

Permit Rating : 00000

Posting Load : Type 3: <u>Legal</u> Type 3S2: <u>Legal</u> Type 3-3: <u>Legal</u>

#### DESCRIPTION ON STRUCTURE

Deck X-Section: (S) 0.1 m br, 7.2 m, 0.1 m br (N)

Total Width: 7.3 m Net Width: 7.1 m No. of Lanes: 2 Speed: 25 mph
Min. Vertical Clearance: Unimpaired Overlay Thickness: 5.0 Inches

Rail Code: 0000

Rail Type	Location	Length (ft)	Rail Modifications	
MBBR R:	ight/Left	56		

### DESCRIPTION UNDER STRUCTURE

Channel Description: Natural earth trapezoidal upstream, RC rectangular with a check dam downstream.

#### NOTICE

The bridge inspection condition assessment used for this inspection is based on the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Bridge Element Inspection Manual 2013 as defined in Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) federal law. The new element inspection methodology may result in changes to related condition and appraisal ratings on the bridge without significant physical changes at the bridge.

The element condition information contained in this report represents the current condition of the bridge based on the most recent routine and special inspections. Some of the notes presented below may be from an inspection that occurred prior to the date noted in this report. Refer to the Scope and Access section of this inspection report for a description of which portions of the bridge were inspected on this date.

#### INSPECTION COMMENTARY

SCOPE AND ACCESS

There was about 2' deep stagnate water in the creek. All elements were visually inspected.

Printed on: Wednesday 09/23/2015 08:16 AM

# INSPECTION COMMENTARY

DECK AND ROADWAY

There was a (OM 3R) narrow sign road at the southwest corner and a (OM 1-3) road sign at the northeast corner.

There rail post were connected by 2 bolts one on each side.

#### SAFE LOAD CAPACITY

A load Rating Summary sheet was in BIRIS. The current load rating was based on calculations dated 5/25/2010.

ELEME	NT INSPECTION	ON RATINGS AND NOTES							
Elem No.	Defect Defec	et Element Description	Env	Total Qty	Units		each Co	ondition St. 3	
31		Deck-Timber	2	60	sq.m	60	0	0	0
	510	Deck Wearing Surface-Asphalt	2	60	sq.m	50	0	10	0
	3220	Cracking-AC (WS)	2	10		0	0	10	0
(31) There	were no sign	ificant defects noted.							
	10-3220) was 2 tranve:	rse and 1 longitudinal cracks in the	AC ov	relay 0	.5" Wić	de.			
111		Girder/Beam-Timber	2	162	m	162	0	0	0
(111) There	were no sign	ificant defects noted.			H				
206	1000	Column-Timber	3	18	each	0	18	0	0
	1180	Abrasion (Timber)	3	18		0	18	0	0
(206-1 There	190c0A (A-13 90.5 L)	e in the columns section due to the $v$	<i>r</i> eathe	r.			31-23		
216		Abutment-Timber	3	16	m	0	15	1	0
	1180	Abrasion (Timber)	3	16		0	15	1	0
(216-1180)  There was shrinkage in the columns section due to the weather.  At the east abutment north side there was a tree growing in between the timber planks.									
235		Pier Cap-Timber	2	21	m	0	21	0	0
	1180	Abrasion (Timber)	2	21		0	21	0	0
(235-1: There		e in the columns section due to the w	eathe	r.		1			
330		Railing-Metal	2	17	m	17	0	0	0
(330) There	were no signi	ficant defects noted.							

### WORK RECOMMENDATIONS

RecDate: 05/18/2012 Action : Sub-Patch spalls Work By: LOCAL AGENCY Status : PROPOSED

EstCost: DistTarget:

Replace the deteriorated timber plank at StrTarget: 2 YEARS 200 mm from the bottom and remove the tree at the north side of the abutment

EA:

between timber planks.

Mikhael T. Zaarour Team Leader :

Mikhael T. Zaarour Report Author :

Inspected By : MT.Zaarour/KD.Henderson

Mikhael T. Zaarour (Registered Civil Engineer)



# STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT

	**************************************		*************
(1)	STATE NAME- CALIFORNIA 069		SUFFICIENCY RATING = 58.1
(8)	STRUCTURE NUMBER 55C0168		STATUS
(5)	INVENTORY ROUTE(ON/UNDER) - ON 140000000		HEALTH INDEX 91.9
	HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT 12		PAINT CONDITION INDEX = N/A
(3)	COUNTY CODE 059 (4) PLACE CODE 00000		******** CLASSIFICATION ******** CODE
	FEATURE INTERSECTED- HANDY CREEK		NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH- YES Y
(7)	FACILITY CARRIED- AMAPOLA AVENUE		HIGHWAY SYSTEM- NOT ON NHS
(9)	LOCATION- 0.2 MI E/O ORANGE PK BLVD		FUNCTIONAL CLASS- COLLECTOR URBAN 17
(11)	MILEPOINT/KILOMETERPOINT 0		DEFENSE HIGHWAY- NOT STRAHNET 0
	BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK- NOT ON NET 0		PARALLEL STRUCTURE- NONE EXISTS N
	LRS INVENTORY ROUTE & SUBROUTE		DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC- 2 WAY 2
(16)	LATITUDE 33 DEG 48 MIN 08.93 SEC	(103)	TEMPORARY STRUCTURE-
(17)	LATITUDE 33 DEG 48 MIN 08.93 SEC LONGITUDE 117 DEG 46 MIN 46.19 SEC	(105)	FED.LANDS HWY- NOT APPLICABLE 0
	BORDER BRIDGE STATE CODE % SHARE %	(110)	DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK - NOT ON NET 0
(99)	BORDER BRIDGE STRUCTURE NUMBER	(20)	TOLL- ON FREE ROAD 3
		(21)	MAINTAIN- COUNTY HIGHWAY AGENCY 02
	****** STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL ******		OWNER- COUNTY HIGHWAY AGENCY 02
(43)	STRUCTURE TYPE MAIN:MATERIAL- WOOD OR TIMBER TYPE- STRINGER/MULTI-BEAM OR GDR CODE 702	(37)	HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE- NOT ELIGIBLE 5
(44)	STRUCTURE TYPE APPR:MATERIAL- OTHER/NA		******* CODITION ********* CODE
(44)	TYPE- OTHER/NA CODE 000	C-000 100 mm	DEGU
(45)	NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT 2		SUPERSTRUCTURE 8
	NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS 0		SUBSTRUCTURE 6
	The state of the s	(61)	CHANNEL & CHANNEL PROTECTION 8
	DECK STRUCTURE TYPE- TIMBER CODE 8		CULVERTS
	WEARING SURFACE / PROTECTIVE SYSTEM:  TYPE OF WEARING SURFACE- BITUMINOUS CODE 6	15	
0.000	TYPE OF WEARING SURFACE- BITUMINOUS CODE 6 TYPE OF MEMBRANE- NONE CODE 0		******* LOAD RATING AND POSTING ******* CODE
	TYPE OF DECK PROTECTION- NONE CODE 0		DESIGN LOAD- UNKNOWN 0
	****** AGE AND SERVICE *********		OPERATING RATING METHOD- ALLOWABLE STRESS 2
	YEAR BUILT 1937		OPERATING RATING- 24.9
	YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000		INVENTORY RATING METHOD- ALLOWABLE STRESS 2 INVENTORY RATING- 17 5
(42)	TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1		
	UNDER- WATERWAY 5		BRIDGE POSTING- EQUAL TO OR ABOVE LEGAL LOADS 5 STRUCTURE OPEN, POSTED OR CLOSED-
	LANES: ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00	(11)	DESCRIPTION- OPEN, NO RESTRICTION
4.000000000	AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 1000		
	YEAR OF ADT 2009 (109) TRUCK ADT 1 %		******* APPRAISAL ********* CODE
(19)	BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 2 KM		STRUCTURAL EVALUATION 4
	******** GEOMETRIC DATA **********		DECK GEOMETRY 4
(48)	LENGTH OF MAXIMUM SPAN 4.0 M		UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL N
	STRUCTURE LENGTH 8.5 M		WATER ADEQUACY 5
	CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT 0.0 M RIGHT 0.0 M		APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT 6 TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURES 0.000
	BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB 7.1 M		CCOID CDIMICAL DRIBGES
35 C-601160 19	DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT 7.3 M	()	<b>-</b>
	APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) 6.7 M	a	****** PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS *******
	BRIDGE MEDIAN- NO MEDIAN 0 SKEW 0 DEG (35) STRUCTURE FLARED NO		TYPE OF WORK- CODE
(34)			LENGTH OF STRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT M
	INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR 99.99 M INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL HORIZ CLEAR 6.7 M		BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT COST
	MIN VERT CLEAR OVER BRIDGE RDWY 99.99 M		ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT COST
100110000000000000000000000000000000000	MIN VERT UNDERCLEAR REF- NOT H/RR 0.00 M		TOTAL PROJECT COST
The second second	MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR RT REF- NOT H/RR 0.0 M		YEAR OF IMPROVEMENT COST ESTIMATE
(56) 1	MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR LT 0.0 M		FUTURE ADT 2061
*	*********** NAVIGATION DATA *********	(112)	YEAR OF FUTURE ADT 2035
(38)	NAVIGATION CONTROL- NOT APPLICABLE CODE N	_ 29 53	**************************************
	PIER PROTECTION- CODE		INSPECTION DATE 08/15 (91) FREQUENCY 24 MO
	NAVIGATION VERTICAL CLEARANCE 0.0 M		CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: (93) CFI DATE
(116)	VERT-LIFT BRIDGE NAV MIN VERT CLEAR M		FRACTURE CRIT DETAIL- NO MO A)
(40) 1	VAVIGATION HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE 0.0 M		UNDERWATER INSP- NO MO B) OTHER SPECIAL INSP- NO MO C)
		C/	OTHER SPECIAL INSP- NO MO C)