

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Structure Maintenance & Investigations

Bridge Number : 55C0130

Facility Carried: GLASSELL STREET

Location : 0.3 MI S/O ROUTE 91 FWY

City

Inspection Date : 07/15/2011

Inspection Type

Bridge Inspection Report

Routine FC Underwater Special Other X

STRUCTURE NAME: SANTA ANA RIVER CHANNEL

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

Year Built : 1963 Year Widened: 2005 Length (m) : 293.5

Skew (degrees): No. of Joints : No. of Hinges :

Structure Description: 18 span continuous CIP RC "T" beam (8 each) supported by RC pier

walls and open end RC diaphragm abutments on steel piles, except Pier 10 through Pier 18 of the east half widening which are RC column (4 each) bents on continuous RC footing and steel piles. Widen: the bridge was widened 2 CIP/RC beam (2 each) at west and

east ends.

Span Configuration : (S) 12.5 m, 16 @ 16.8 m, 12.5 m (N) c/c

LOAD CAPACITY AND RATINGS

Design Live Load: MS-18 OR HS-20

Inventory Rating: 33.5 Operating Rating: 57.1

metric tonnes metric tonnes

Calculation Method: LOAD FACTOR Calculation Method: LOAD FACTOR

Permit Rating : PPPPP

Posting Load : Type 3: Legal

Type 3S2: Legal

Type 3-3:Legal

DESCRIPTION ON STRUCTURE

Deck X-Section: (W) 0.35 m br; 1.5 m sw; 9.8 m; 1.2 m cu. med.; 10.6 m; 1.5 m sw; 0.35 m br (E).

Total Width: 25.3 m

Net Width: 20.4 m No. of Lanes: 4

Rail Description: (W) Type 26 (E). Min. Vertical Clearance: Unimpaired Rail Code : 0000

DESCRIPTION UNDER STRUCTURE

Channel Description: Natural sandy earth trapezoidal with rock slope protection through the site.

INSPECTION COMMENTARY

CONDITION OF STRUCTURE

The compression seals are dry and teared. The compression seals were being pushed upward and there are edge spalls.

A/C has depression 40 mm at the departure between SB lanes #1 & #2 at south end.

The compression seals are dry and teared and there are edge spalls as follow:

Joint hinge #3: the compression joint seal was failed 50% at NB lanes; and the pourable joint seal was failed 100% at SB lanes.

Joint hinge #7: the compression joint seal was failed 100% at NB lanes; and the pourable joint seal was failed 100% at SB lanes.

Joint hinge #12: the compression joint seal was failed 100% at both bounds.

Joint hinge #16: the compression joint seal was partially failed.

Printed on: Friday 10/14/2011 01:12 PM

55C0130/AAAH/21511

INSPECTION COMMENTARY

The concrete deck exhibits:

- * few spalls with rebar exposed at SB lane #2 due to lack of concrete cover above PW #2; PW#3 and at span #3;
- * few small spalls at the west shoulder at 2.0 m north of hinge #3; and
- * at hinge #16 at SB lane #2, there is a patched spall (1000 mm X 300 mm) with A/C, it has to patch with epoxy and concrete instead of A/C.

The concrete deck exhibits (mostly at the original bridge):

- \star mostly transverse cracks, 2 mm wide and 150 mm spacing above the pier walls due to the negative moment; and
- * transverse and map cracks, 1 mm wide and 300 mm spacing.

The soffit exhibits:

- * span #1: all bays under the traffic lanes (original bridge) has several transverse cracks with heavy white efflorescence;
- * span #2: all bays under the traffic lanes (original bridge) has several transverse cracks with light white efflorescence mostly at south end; and
- * at spans #8 to #13 and #15 to #18: few transverse cracks with light white efflorescence; these cracks mirror the deck cracks.

The concrete girders exhibit:

- * most original girders at span #2 (south end) have few minor shear cracks (2 cracks at each girder);
- * 4 shear cracks at span #9 (at each end) at each original girder;
- * girder 3 at span #9 has 4 spalls +/- (300 X 100 X 30) mm at west fascia;
- * girder 1 at span #9 has a spall (75 X 75 X 30) mm at west fascia; and
- * most original girders have few minor shear cracks at each end.

Pier walls exhibit:

Pier wall #2 has 2 moderate vertical cracks.

Pier wall #3 has one minor vertical crack.

Pier wall #10 has one moderate vertical crack at the west portion.

Pier wall #12 has one minor and one moderate vertical cracks at the westerly portion. Pier wall #15 has one minor vertical crack at the westerly portion and one horizontal

crack at the east portion of the pier wall.

CHANNEL/WATERWAY INVESTIGATION

The water in the channel was 0.9 m deep at spans 3 to 8; and 0.2 m deep at spans 11 to \cdot 16.

All substructure elements were inspected only at spans #1, #2, #16, #17 and #18; where pedestrian access is from any quadrants. Also all substructure elements were inspected at spans except spans #8 to 15; where pedestrian access is through a vehicular access at NE gate.

MISCELLANEOUS

Our office did not receive the As-built for that recent widen in 2005.

ELEMENT INSPECTION RATINGS													
Elem No. Element Description		Total	TT 1.4	220		yin							
No. Element Description	Env	QLY	Units	St.	1	St.	2	St.	3	St.	4	St.	5
12 Concrete Deck - Bare	2	5988	sq.m.		0	59	88		0		0		0

Elem		Total		Qt	y in eac	ch Condi	tion Sta	te.
No. Element Description	Env	Qty	Units	St. 1	St. 2	St. 3	St. 4	St. 5
110 Reinforced Conc Open Girder/Beam	2	3524	m.	3424	100	0	0	
182 Other Type EQ Restrainer Cable	2	4	ea.	4	0	0	0	(
210 Reinforced Conc Pier Wall	2	400	m.	396	4	0	0	
215 Reinforced Conc Abutment	2	36	m.	36	0	0	0	(
225 Unpainted Steel Submerged Pile	2	1	ea.	1	0	0	0	(
256 Slope Protection	2	4	ea.	4	0	0	0	(
301 Pourable Joint Seal	2	20	m.	0	20	0		
302 Compression Join't Seal	2	81	m.	0	16	65		
312 Enclosed/Concealed Bearing	2	4	ea.	4	0	0	0	C
331 Reinforced Conc Bridge Railing	2	591	m.	591	0	0	0	C
358 Deck Cracking	2	1	ea.	ò	0	0	1	
359 Soffit of Concrete Deck or Slab	2	1	ea.	0	0	1	0	c

WORK RECOMMENDATIONS

RecDate:	03/29/2007	EstCos
Action :	Deck-Methacrylate	StrTar
Work By.	LOCAL ACENCY	Dictm

EA:

Status : PROPOSED

st: 2 YEARS rget:

DistTarget:

The heavy cracking of the concrete deck (the original bridge deck) requires the

cleaning and sealing with methacrylate. Before performing this work, it is recommended to check the deck (chaining) for potential delaminations below the deck surface. The chaining should be done

by closing one bound of traffic

alternately. The City should contact the

ABME for scheduling the chaining.

Level the 40 mm A/C depression at the

RecDate: 03/29/2007 Action : Bridge-Misc Work By: LOCAL AGENCY Status : PROPOSED

StrTarget: 2 YEARS DistTarget: EA .

EstCost:

EstCost:

departure between SB lanes #1 & #2 at

south end.

RecDate: 01/29/2001 Action : Joints-Replace Work By: LOCAL AGENCY

Status : PROPOSED

StrTarget: 2 YEARS

DistTarget:

EA:

The City should consider replacing the compression seals in the bridge deck joints. If the seals are replaced, modify the joint widths to allow for the proper thermal expansion of the bridge. Replace the deteriorated Type A joints seal as follows:

Joint hinge #3: Width of joint is 1.25 inches at 72 degrees Fahrenheit. Joint hinge #7: Width of joint is 1.5 inches at 72 degrees Fahrenheit. Joint hinge #12: Width of joint is 1.5 inches at 72 degrees Fahrenheit. Joint hinge #16: Width of joint is 1.5 inches at 72 degrees Fahrenheit.

CHANNEL X-SECTION

Upstream Measured From : Top conc rail H = 0.72 m (E)

Location Horiz(m) Vert (m) Comments

Abut 1 0.00 2.90 face of abut wall

X-Section Date: 07/15/2011

CHANNEL X-SECTION						
Side : Upstream Measured From :Top conc ra	ail H = 0 72 m	X-Section Date: 07/15/2011				
ocation	Horiz(m)	Vert(m)	Comments			
	3.20	3.43	toe of slope south side of wall			
	4.00	3.09	top pf wall			
	4.10	5.90	edge of bike path			
	10.40	6.00	edge of bike bath			
	12.30	5.55	top of slope			
	12.40	2.15	top of wall			
	12.75	3.40	top of cutter north of wall			
	16.30	3.35	top of river concrete slope			
	18.00	3.95	top of rip rap			
	26.10	7.40	toe of rip rap			
	29.50	7.65	S side of pier wall 3			
	29.80	8.25	N side of pier wall 3 (water level 7.7m			
	39.20	8.50	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
	46.20	8.65	S side of pier wall 4			
	46.50	8.65	N side of pier wall 4			
	54.30	8.65				
	63.00	8.50	S side of pier wall 5			
	63.30	8.30	N side of pier wall 5			
	70.10	8.20	The second secon			
	79.70	8.35	S side of pier wall 6			
	80.00	8.60	N side of pier wall 6			
	89.90	8.55	The second secon			
	96.50	8.30	S side of pier wall 7			
	96.80	8.70	N side of pier wall 7			
	105.00	8.60				
	113.40	8.60	S side of pier wall 8			
	113.70	8.75	N side of pier wall 8			
	121.00	7.75	edge of water			
	130.20	5.20	S side of pier wall 9/ top of rip rap			
	130.50	4.75	N side of pier wall 9/ toe of conc slope			
	135.00	3.20	top of conc slope			
	140.20	3.65	dirt path			
	147.00	5.75	S side of pier wall 10			
	147.30	5.80	N side of pier wall 10			
	149.10	6.15	S edge of bike path			
	152.40	6.15	N edge of bike path			
	161.60	7.10	top of slope			
	163.70	8.15	S side of pier wall 11			
	164.00	8.20	N side of pier wall 11			
	171.60	8.70	edge of water			
	180.40	8.65	S side of pier wall 12			

CHANNEL X-SECTION			Page	5 of 6
Side: Upstream Measured From: Top conc	rail H = 0.72 m (E)	X-Section Date: 07/	15/2011
Location	Horiz(m)	Vert(m)	Comments	
	180.70	8.65	N side of pier wall 12	
	191.00	8.75	wet land	
	197.30	8.75	S side of pier wall 13	
	197.60	8.75	N side of pier wall 13	
	206.00	8.85		
	214.00	8.90	S side of pier wall 14	
	214.30	8.90	N side of pier wall 14	
	224.00	8.70		
	230.80	8.70	S side of pier wall 15	
	231.10	8.65	N side of pier wall 15	
	238.00	8.65	,	
	247.00	8.65	S side of pier wall 16	
	247.30	8.65	N side of pier wall 16	
	259.00	7.80	toe of slope	
	264.40	6.65	S side of pier wall 17	
	264.70	6.15	N side of pier wall 17	
	271.00	6.30	S edge of dirt path	
	277.20	6.15	N edge of dirt path	
	281.00	6.85	S side of pier wall 18	
	281.30	6.75	N side of pier wall 18	
	285.70	5.30		
abut 19	0.00	2.90	face of abut wall.	

Inspected By : MT.Zaarour/A.Shenouda

Mikhael T. Zaarour (Registered Civil Engineer)

CC: City of Orange City of Anaheim



STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT

**************************************	**************************************
(0)	STATUS STRUCTURALLY DEFICIENT
	HEALTH INDEX
(5) INVENTORY ROUTE (ON/UNDER) - ON 1500M0070	DAINE CONDITION TARRY
(2) HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT 12	11/11
(3) COUNTY CODE 059 (4) PLACE CODE 00000	The state of the s
(6) FEATURE INTERSECTED- SANTA ANA RIVER CHANNEL	(112) NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH- YES Y
(7) FACILITY CARRIED- GLASSELL STREET	(104) HIGHWAY SYSTEM- NOT ON NHS
(9) LOCATION- 0.3 MI S/O ROUTE 91 FWY	(26) FUNCTIONAL CLASS- OTHER PRIN ART URBAN 14
(11) MILEPOINT/KILOMETERPOINT 0	(100) DEFENSE HIGHWAY- NOT STRAHNET 0
(12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK- PART OF NET 1	(101) PARALLEL STRUCTURE- NONE EXISTS N
(13) LRS INVENTORY ROUTE & SUBROUTE 000000M00700	(102) DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC- 2 WAY 2
(16) LATITUDE 33 DEG 50 MIN 38.14 SEC	(103) TEMPORARY STRUCTURE-
(17) LONGITUDE 117 DEG 51 MIN 10.93 SEC	(105) FED.LANDS HWY- NOT APPLICABLE
(98) BORDER BRIDGE STATE CODE % SHARE %	(110) DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK - NOT ON NET 0
(99) BORDER BRIDGE STRUCTURE NUMBER	(20) TOLL- ON FREE ROAD
	(21) MAINTAIN- CITY OR MUNICIPAL HIGHWAY AGENCY 04
****** STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL ******	(22) OWNER- CITY OR MUNICIPAL HIGHWAY AGENCY 04
(43) STRUCTURE TYPE MAIN: MATERIAL- CONCRETE CONT	(37) HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE- NOT ELIGIBLE 5
TYPE- TEE BEAM CODE 204	
(44) STRUCTURE TYPE APPR:MATERIAL- OTHER/NA	********* CONDITION *********** CODE
TYPE- OTHER/NA CODE 000	(58) DECK 3
(45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT 18	(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE 6
(46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS 0	(60) SUBSTRUCTURE 7
(107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE- CIP CONCRETE CODE 1	(61) CHANNEL & CHANNEL PROTECTION 8
(108) WEARING SURFACE / PROTECTIVE SYSTEM:	(62) CULVERTS N
A) TYPE OF WEARING SURFACE- CONCRETE CODE 1	***************************************
B) TYPE OF MEMBRANE- NONE CODE 0	******* LOAD RATING AND POSTING ******* CODE
C) TYPE OF DECK PROTECTION- NONE CODE 0	(31) DESIGN LOAD- MS-18 OR HS-20 5
******* AGE AND SERVICE *********	(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD- LOAD FACTOR 1
(05) 1155 5155	(64) OPERATING RATING- 57.1
(106) 11717	(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD- LOAD FACTOR 1
(40) 7777 07 07 07	(66) INVENTORY RATING-
(42) TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5	(70) BRIDGE POSTING- EQUAL TO OR ABOVE LEGAL LOADS 5
(28) LANES:ON STRUCTURE 04 UNDER STRUCTURE 00	(41) STRUCTURE OPEN, POSTED OR CLOSED- A
(29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 22000	DESCRIPTION- OPEN, NO RESTRICTION
(30) YEAR OF ADT 2003 (109) TRUCK ADT 1 %	*******
(19) BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 5 KM	(67) STRUCTURAL EVALUATION
	(68) DECK GEOMETRY
**************************************	(60)
(48) LENGTH OF MAXIMUM SPAN 16.8 M	(69) UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL N (71) WATER ADEQUACY 9
(49) STRUCTURE LENGTH 293.5 M	
(50) CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT 0.0 M RIGHT 0.0 M	(26)
(51) BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB 20.4 M	(112) COUR OF HIGH PRINCES
(52) DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT 25.3 M	(113) SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES 8
(32) APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) 20.4 M	******* PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS *******
(33) BRIDGE MEDIAN- CLOSED (NO BARRIER) 2	(75) TYPE OF WORK- CODE
(34) SKEW 0 DEG (35) STRUCTURE FLARED NO	(76) LENGTH OF STRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT M
(10) INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR 99.99 M	(94) BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT COST
(47) INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL HORIZ CLEAR 10.6 M	(95) ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT COST
(53) MIN VERT CLEAR OVER BRIDGE RDWY 99.99 M	(96) TOTAL PROJECT COST
(54) MIN VERT UNDERCLEAR REF- NOT H/RR 0.00 M	(97) YEAR OF IMPROVEMENT COST ESTIMATE
(55) MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR RT REF- NOT H/RR 0.0 M	(114) DUMUDE ADM
(56) MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR LT 0.0 M	(115)
******** NAVIGATION DATA *********	
(38) NAVIGATION CONTROL- NOT APPLICABLE CODE N	**************************************
(111) PIER PROTECTION- CODE	(90) INSPECTION DATE 07/11 (91) FREQUENCY 24 MO
(39) NAVIGATION VERTICAL CLEARANCE 0.0 M	(92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: (93) CFI DATE
(116) VERT-LIFT BRIDGE NAV MIN VERT CLEAR M	A) FRACTURE CRIT DETAIL- NO MO A)
(40) NAVIGATION HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE 0.0 M	B) UNDERWATER INSP- NO MO B)
0.0 M	C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP- NO MO C)