

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Structure Maintenance & Investigations

Bridge Number : 55C0130

Facility Carried: GLASSELL STREET

Location : 0.3 MI S/O ROUTE 91 FWY

City

Inspection Date : 07/11/2019

Inspection Type Bridge Inspection Report

Routine FC Underwater Special Other Х

STRUCTURE NAME: SANTA ANA RIVER CHANNEL

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

Year Built : 1963 Skew (degrees): Λ Year Modified: 2005 No. of Joints : 4 Length (m) : 293.5 No. of Hinges :

Structure Description: 18 span continuous CIP RC "T" beam (12 each total induding widening)

supported by RC pier walls and open end RC diaphragm abutments on steel piles, except Pier 10 through Pier 18 of the east half

widening which are RC column (4 each) bents on continuous RC footing

and steel piles.

Widen 2005: the bridge was widened 2 CIP/RC beam (2 each) at the

west and the east ends.

Span Configuration :(S) 41.00 feet, 16 @ 55.00 feet, 41.00 feet (N).

SAFE LOAD CAPACITY AND RATINGS

Design Live Load: UNKNOWN

Inventory Rating: RF=0.52 =>16.8 metric tons Calculation Method: FIELD EVAL/ENG JUDGMENT Operating Rating: RF=0.86 =>27.9 metric tons Calculation Method: FIELD EVAL/ENG JUDGMENT

Permit Rating : XXXXX

Posting Load : Type 3: Legal Type 3S2:Legal Type 3-3:Legal

DESCRIPTION ON STRUCTURE

Deck X-Section: (W) 1.25 feet br; 5.00 feet sw; 35.00 feet; 4.00 feet cu. med.; 35.00 feet; 5.00 feet sw; 1.25 feet br (E).

Total Width: 26.1 m Net Width: 21.2 m No. of Lanes: 4 Speed: 45 mph Min. Vertical Clearance: Unimpaired Overlay Thickness: 0.0 inches

Rail Code: 1000

DESCRIPTION UNDER STRUCTURE

Channel Description: Natural sandy earth trapezoidal with rock slope protection through the site.

NOTICE

The bridge inspection condition assessment used for this inspection is based on the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Bridge Element Inspection Manual 2013 as defined in Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) federal law. The new element inspection methodology may result in changes to related condition and appraisal ratings on the bridge without significant physical changes at the bridge.

The element condition information contained in this report represents the current condition of the bridge based on the most recent routine and special inspections. Some of the notes presented below may be from an inspection that occurred prior to the date noted in this report. Refer to the Scope and Access section of this inspection report for a description of which portions of the bridge were inspected on this date.

INSPECTION COMMENTARY

SCOPE AND ACCESS

A complete routine inspection was performed by walking on and around the bridge to

Printed on: Thursday 08/22/2019 08:17 AM 55C0130/AAAL/53907

INSPECTION COMMENTARY

inspect all visible elements of the bridge structure. Bridge deck was inspected by walking on shoulder and sidewalk. Soffit and all substructure were inspected by walking underneath the bridge with rain boots and binoculars due to 10.0 to 14.0 inches deep of water inside spans #11 to #16 at the time of inspection.

There is no need for a special equipment to inspect this structure with rain boots and binoculars.

DECK AND ROADWAY

There are longitudinal and transverse deck cracks (0.04 to 0.06 inches wide, 1.0 to 2.0 feet in spacing) but the deck has been treated with Methacrylate.

The following locations of deck soffit cracks are below:

Span #1: all bays under the traffic lanes (original bridge) has several transverse cracks with heavy white efflorescence (see the attached photo no. 1).

Span #2: all bays under the traffic lanes (original bridge) has several transverse cracks, up to 6.0 feet long with white efflorescence mostly at the southerly end.

Spans #8 to #18 have transverse cracks with white efflorescence but these cracks mirror through the top deck cracks.

Concrete bridge rails have several vertical cracks, up to 0.05 inches wide and 10.0 feet spaced apart.

Southeast corner of the sidewalk has a settlement from 0.5 to 1.0 inch with a spall at (8.0 inches L X 3.0 inches W X 1.0 inch D) at the same location at the approach.

Type A at hinge #16 started deteriorating at 2.0 to 3.0 inches gap at 85.0 degree of Fahrenheit with full of debris.

Type B at hinges #3, #7 and #12 started deteriorating at 2.0 to 3.0 inches gap at 85.0 degree of Fahrenheit with full of debris.

SUPERSTRUCTURE

Below is the following locations of concrete girders with cracks:

Span #9, girder #1 has a spall (3.0 inches L X 3.0 inches W X 1.0 inch D) at the westerly fascia at 15.0 feet from pierwall #10. Girder #3 has four spalls at (12.0 inches L X 5.0 inches W X 2.0 inches D) at westerly fascia (see the attached photo no. 3). Girder #9 has two spalls at (5.0 inches L X 5.0 inches W X 1.0 inch D) approximately 5.0 feet north from intermediate diaphragm at both faces. In addition, Girder #10 has a spall at (5.0 inches L X 6.0 inches W X 1.0 inch D) approximately 5.0 feet north from intermediate diaphragm at the westerly face.

Span #10, there is a spall at (4.0 inches L X 4.0 inches W X 1.0 inch D) on girder #9 approximately 2.0 feet from the intermediate diaphragm without any rebar exposed.

Girder #10 of Span #11 (from west) has a spall at (10.0 inches L X 3.0 inches W X 1.0 inch D) with rebar exposed and rusted approximately 5.0 feet north of intermediate diaphragm.

The following is the locations of concrete girders with cracks below (see the attached photo no. 4):

Span #2, most original girders (south end) have random diagonal cracks (2 shears cracks at each girder) 0.04 inches wide.

Span #9 has 4 diagonal cracks (shear cracks) at each end of each original girder , up to 0.04 inches wide;

Printed on: Thursday 08/22/2019 08:17 AM

55C0130/AAAL/53907

INSPECTION COMMENTARY

Span #10, girders #7, #8, #9 and #10 each has eight vertical cracks, up to 0.04 inches wide and 2.5 feet spaced apart mostly at 2/3 of the span length

Most of original girders have random diagonal (shear) cracks up to 0.03 inches wide at both ends.

Restrainer Steel Cables (seismic retrofit) some of them have a minor rust.

SUBSTRUCTURE

Pier wall #11 has two spalls at (3.0 inches L X 3.0 inches W X 1.0 inch D) at the northerly face.

Pier wall #14 has a spall at (1.5 feet diameter X 2.0 inches) approximately 15.0 feet from westerly end, southerly face.

Below is the following locations of pier walls with cracks: Pier wall #2 has two vertical cracks, 0.05 inches wide.

Pier wall #3 has a vertical crack, 0.04 inches wide.

Pier wall #10 hass a vertical crack, at the west portion 0.04 inches wide.

Pier wall #11 has a vertical crack, 0.04 inches wide.

Pier wall #12 has two vertical cracks, up to 0.05 inches wide at the westerly portion.

Pier wall #14 has two vertical crack, 0.04 inches wide.

Pier wall #15 has a vertical crack, 0.04 inches wide at the westerly portion and one horizontal crack 0.05 inches wide at the east portion of the pier wall. In addition, pier wall #16 has a vertical crack, 0.05 inches wide.

SAFE LOAD CAPACITY

A Load Rating Summary sheet is archived on 01/31/2016. As-built drawings are not available for the original bridge. The Load rating Summary Sheet has verified the physical conditions assumed in the above referenced load rating calculation have not changed significantly.

Elem No.	Defect Def /Prot	ect Element Description	Env	Total Qty	Units			ondition St. 3	
16		Top Flange-RC	2	7440	sq.m	7005	280	155	0
	1080	Delamination/Spall/Patched Area	2	5		0	0	5	0
	1120	Efflorescence/Rust Staining	2	280		0	280	0	0
	1130	Cracking (RC and Other)	2	150		0	0	150	0
	521	Concrete Coat.(Meth/Paint/Seal)	2	6222	sq.m	6222	0	0	0

(16-1080)

Southeast corner of the sidewalk has a settlement from 0.5 to 1.0 inch with a spall at (8.0 inches L X 3.0 inches W X 1.0 inch D) at the same location at the approach.

(16-1120)

ELEMENT INSPECTION RATINGS AND COMMENTARY

Elem Defect Defect Element Description No. /Prot

Env Total Units Qty in each Condition State
Qty St. 1 St. 2 St. 3 St. 4

The following locations of deck soffit cracks are below:

Span #1: all bays under the traffic lanes (original bridge) has several transverse cracks with heavy white efflorescence (see the attached photo no. 1).

Span #2: all bays under the traffic lanes (original bridge) has several transverse cracks, up to 6.0 feet long with white efflorescence mostly at the southerly end.

Spans #8 to #18 have transverse cracks with light white efflorescence but these cracks mirror through the top deck cracks.

(16-1130)

There are longitudinal and transverse deck cracks (0.04 to 0.06 inches wide, 1.0 to 2.0 feet in spacing) but the deck has been treated with Methacrylate.

(16-521)

There were no significant defects noted. The deck cracks were sealed with methacrylate around year 2012.

110	Girder/Beam-RC	2	3524	m	3256	265	3	0
108	Delamination/Spall/Patched Area	2	20		2	15	3	0
113	Cracking (RC and Other)	2	250		0	250	0	0

(110)

Spalls and cracks.

(110-1080)

The following is the locations of concrete girders with cracks below:

Span #9, girder #1 has a spall (3.0 inches L X 3.0 inches W X 1.0 inch D) at the westerly fascia at 15.0 feet from pierwall #10. Girder #3 has four spalls at (12.0 inches L X 5.0 inches W X 2.0 inches D) at westerly fascia (see the attached photo no. 3). Girder #9 has two spalls at (5.0 inches L X 5.0 inches W X 1.0 inch D) approximately 5.0 feet north from intermediate diaphragm at both faces. In addition, Girder #10 has a spall at (5.0 inches L X 6.0 inches W X 1.0 inch D) approximately 5.0 feet north from intermediate diaphragm at the westerly face.

Span #10, there is a spall at (4.0 inches L X 4.0 inches W X 1.0 inch D) on girder #9 approximately 2.0 feet from the intermediate diaphragm without any rebar exposed.

Girder #10 of Span #11 (from west) has a spall at (10.0 inches L X 3.0 inches W X 1.0 inch D) with rebar exposed and rusted approximately 5.0 feet north of intermediate diaphragm.

(110-1130)

The following is the locations of concrete girders with cracks below (see the attached photo no. 4): Span #2, most original girders (south end) have random diagonal cracks (2 shears cracks at each girder) 0.04 inches wide.

Span #9 has 4 diagonal cracks (shear cracks) at each end of each original girder , up to 0.04 inches wide;

Span #10, girders #7, #8, #9 and #10 each has eight vertical cracks, up to 0.04 inches wide and 2.5 feet spaced apart mostly at 2/3 of the span length

Most of original girders have random diagonal (shear) cracks up to 0.03 inches wide at both ends.

182	EQ Restrainer Cable-Other	2	40	ea.	36	4	0	0
1000	Corrosion	2	4		0	4	0	0

Printed on: Thursday 08/22/2019 08:17 AM

ELEMENT INSPECTION RATINGS AND COMMENTARY									
Elem No.	Defect Defe /Prot	ct Element Description	Env	Total Qty	Units			ondition St. 3	
(182)						-			
Minor	corrosion.								
(182-1									
Restra	ainer Steel (Cables (seismic retrofit) some of them	have	a mir	or rust	÷ .			
205		Column-RC	2	36	each	36	0	0	0
(205)		en e							11.125
4 colu	umns at each	Bent , at Bents 10 to 18. There were	no s	ignifi	cant de	efects r	noted.		
210		Pier Wall-RC	2	280	m	269	10	1	0
	1080	Delamination/Spall/Patched Area	2	1		0	0	1	0
	1130	Cracking (RC and Other)	2	10		0	10	0	0
(210)		(x,y) = (x,y) + (x,y							
Minor	spalls and o	cracks.							
(210-1	080)								
Pier w	vall #11 has	two spalls at $(3.0 \text{ inches } L \text{ X } 3.0 \text{ inch}$	nes W	X 1.0	inch D) at th	ne north	nerly fa	ce.
Pier w	all #14 has	a spall at (1.5 feet diameter X 2.0 in	nchec	\ annr	ovimata	J., 15 (\ - 4	g	
end, s	southerly fac	e.	iches,	, appr	OXIMATE	ETY 15.0) leet 1	rom wes	terly
(210-1	.130)								
		wing locations of pier walls with crac	cks:						
Pier w	all #2 has t	wo vertical cracks, 0.05 inches wide.							
Pier w	all #3 has a	vertical crack, 0.04 inches wide.							
Pier w	all #10 hass	a vertical crack, at the west portion	0.04	4 inch	es wide	٠.			
Pier w	vall #11 has	a vertical crack, 0.04 inches wide.							
Pier w	all #12 has	two vertical cracks, up to 0.05 inches	wide	e at t	he west	erly po	rtion.		
Pier w	all #14 has	two vertical crack, 0.04 inches wide.							
0.05 i	vall #15 has nches wide a 0.05 inches	a vertical crack, 0.04 inches wide at the east portion of the pier wall. wide.	the v	wester dditio	ly port n, pier	ion and wall #	l one ho	rizonta a verti	l crack cal
215		Abutment-RC	2	36	m	36	0	0	0
(215) There	were no sign	ificant defects noted.							
225		Pile-Steel	2	1	ea.	1	0	0	0
(225)			_		cu.	_	O	O	O
The pi	le element i d for visual	s included to indicate the presence of inspection. No indication of pile di	pile stres	es on	this st noted	ructure in anv	. The	piles w	ere not
256		Slope Protection	2	1	ea.	1	0	0	0
(256)									
	_	ificant defects noted.							
Only a	t south Abut	ment.							

Printed on: Thursday 08/22/2019 08:17 AM

ELEME	NT INSPEC	IION RATINGS AND COMMENTARY							
Elem No.	Defect De	fect Element Description	Env	Total Qty	Units			ondition St. 3	
301		Joint-Pourable Seal	2	22	m	19	3	0	0
	2320	Seal Adhesion (Joints)	2	3		0	3	0	0
(301)					-				
The jo	oint seals	were replaced around year 2012.							
(301-2									
Type A	A at hinge of debris.	#16 started deteriorating at 2.0	to 3.0 inch	es gap	at 85.	0 degre	ee of F	ahrenhe	it with
302		Joint-Compression Seal	2	66	m	60	6	0	0
	2320	Seal Adhesion (Joints)	2	6		0	6	0	0
(302-2	2320)								
Type B Fahren	3 at hinges nheit with	#3, #7 and #12 started deteriora full of debris.	ting at 2.0	to 3.	0 inche	s gap a	at 85.0	degree	of
312		Bearing-Enclosed	2	2	each	2	0	0	0
(312)		 -							
The en	nclosed bea	ring pads are not exposed for vis	ual inspect	ion.			-		
331		Railing-RC	2	591	 m	531	60	 O	0
	1130	Cracking (RC and Other)	2	60		0	60	0	0
(331)									
There	were no si	gnificant defects noted.							
(331-1	.130)								
Concre apart.	ete bridge	rails have several vertical crack	s, up to 0.	05 inc	hes wid	e and 1	10.0 fe	et space	ed

WORK RECOMMENDATIONS

RecDate: 12/31/2017 Action: Sub-Patch spalls Work By: LOCAL AGENCY Status: PROPOSED	EstCost: StrTarget: 2 YEARS DistTarget: EA:	Patch Pier wall #14 that has a spall (1.5 feet diameter X 2.0 inches) approximately 15.0 feet from west end southerly face (see the attached photo no. 2).
RecDate: 12/31/2017 Action: Super-Patch spalls Work By: LOCAL AGENCY Status: PROPOSED	EstCost: StrTarget: 2 YEARS DistTarget: EA:	Patch all the spalls at the concrete girders at span #9 that have several spalls about (12.0 inches L X 5.0 inches W X 2.0 inches D) (see the attached photo no. 3).

Team Leader : Nelson N. Vo

Report Author : Nelson N. Vo

Inspected By : NN.Vo/E.Mah

Edwin Mah (Registered Civil Engineer) (Dat

CC: City of Orange City of Anaheim



STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT

	**************************************		*************
(1)	STATE NAME- CALIFORNIA 069		SUFFICIENCY RATING = 69.0
	STRUCTURE NUMBER 55C0130		PAINT CONDITION INDEX = N/A
(5)	INVENTORY ROUTE (ON/UNDER) - ON 150000000		
	HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT 12		
(3)	COUNTY CODE 059 (4) PLACE CODE 00000		******** CLASSIFICATION ********* CODE
(6)	FEATURE INTERSECTED- SANTA ANA RIVER CHANNEL	(112)	NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH- YES Y
(7)	FACILITY CARRIED- GLASSELL STREET	(104)	HIGHWAY SYSTEM- ROUTE ON NHS
(9)	LOCATION- 0.3 MI S/O ROUTE 91 FWY		FUNCTIONAL CLASS- OTHER PRIN ART URBAN 14
	MILEPOINT/KILOMETERPOINT 0		DEFENSE HIGHWAY- NOT STRAHNET 0
	BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK- PART OF NET 1	(101)	PARALLEL STRUCTURE- NONE EXISTS N
(13)	LRS INVENTORY ROUTE & SUBROUTE 000000000000	(102)	DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC- 2 WAY 2
(16)	LATITUDE 33 DEG 50 MIN 35.94 SEC		TEMPORARY STRUCTURE-
	LONGITUDE 117 DEG 51 MIN 09.2 SEC		FED.LANDS HWY- NOT APPLICABLE 0
	BORDER BRIDGE STATE CODE % SHARE %		DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK - NOT ON NET 0
(99)	BORDER BRIDGE STRUCTURE NUMBER		TOLL- ON FREE ROAD
,	****** STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL ******		MAINTAIN- CITY OR MUNICIPAL HIGHWAY AGENCY 04
	STRUCTURE TYPE MAIN: MATERIAL- CONCRETE CONT	(22)	OWNER- CITY OR MUNICIPAL HIGHWAY AGENCY 04
	TYPE- TEE BEAM CODE 204	(37)	HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE- NOT ELIGIBLE 5
(44)	STRUCTURE TYPE APPR:MATERIAL- OTHER/NA		********** CONDITION *********** CODE
(45)	TYPE- OTHER/NA CODE 000	(58)	DECK 7
	NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT 18	(59)	SUPERSTRUCTURE 7
	NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS 0		SUBSTRUCTURE 7
(107)	DECK STRUCTURE TYPE- CIP CONCRETE CODE 1		CHANNEL & CHANNEL PROTECTION 8
	WEARING SURFACE / PROTECTIVE SYSTEM:	(62)	CULVERTS
	TYPE OF WEARING SURFACE- CONCRETE CODE 1		****** LOAD RATING AND POSTING ****** CODE
	TYPE OF MEMBRANE- NONE CODE 0 TYPE OF DECK PROTECTION- NONE CODE 0	(31)	DESIGN LOAD- UNKNOWN 0
	CODE 0	(63)	OPERATING RATING METHOD- FIELD EVAL/ENG JUD 0
	********** AGE AND SERVICE **********		OPERATING RATING- 27.9
	YEAR BUILT 1963	(65)	INVENTORY RATING METHOD- FIELD EVAL/ENG JUL 0
	YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 2005 TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1		INVENTORY RATING- 16.8
(42)	UNDER- WATERWAY 5	(70)	BRIDGE POSTING- EQUAL TO OR ABOVE LEGAL LOADS 5
(28)	LANES:ON STRUCTURE 04 UNDER STRUCTURE 00	(41)	STRUCTURE OPEN, POSTED OR CLOSED- A
	AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 22000.		DESCRIPTION- OPEN, NO RESTRICTION
(30)	YEAR OF ADT 2019 (109) TRUCK ADT 1 %		******** APPRAISAL ********* CODE
(19)	BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 5 KM		STRUCTURAL EVALUATION
	******** GEOMETRIC DATA **********		DECK GEOMETRY 9
	LENGTH OF MAXIMUM SPAN 16.8 M	(69)	UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL N
(49)	STRUCTURE LENGTH 293.5 M	(71)	WATER ADEQUACY 9
(50)	CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT 0.0 M RIGHT 0.0 M		APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT 8
(51)	BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB 21.2 M		TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURES 1000
	DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT 26.1 M	(113)	SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES 8
	APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) 21.2 M		****** PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS *******
	BRIDGE MEDIAN- CLOSED NON-MOUNTABLE 3	(75)	TYPE OF WORK- CODE
(34)	· / · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(76)	LENGTH OF STRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT M
	INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR 99.99 M		BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT COST
(47)	INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL HORIZ CLEAR 10.6 M MIN VERT CLEAR OVER BRIDGE RDWY 99.99 M	(95)	ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT COST
	MIN IMPRICATION OF THE COLUMN	(96)	TOTAL PROJECT COST
	MIN VERT UNDERCLEAR REF- NOT H/RR 0.00 M MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR RT REF- NOT H/RR 0.0 M		YEAR OF IMPROVEMENT COST ESTIMATE
	MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR LT 0.0 M		FUTURE ADT 45792
,	************** NAVIGATION DATA **********		YEAR OF FUTURE ADT 2037
	NAVIGATION CONTROL- NOT APPLICABLE CODE N		************* INSPECTIONS **********
	PIER PROTECTION- CODE		INSPECTION DATE 07/19 (91) FREQUENCY 24 MO
	NAVIGATION VERTICAL CLEARANCE 0.0 M		CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: (93) CFI DATE
	VERT-LIFT BRIDGE NAV MIN VERT CLEAR M		FRACTURE CRIT DETAIL- NO MO A)
(40) 1	NAVIGATION HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE 0.0 M		UNDERWATER INSP- NO MO B)
		C)	OTHER SPECIAL INSP- NO MO C)

Printed on: Thursday 08/22/2019 08:17 AM