

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Structure Maintenance & Investigations

Bridge Number : 55C0122

Facility Carried: BREA CANYON BLVD.

Location : 0.6 MI N/O CENTRAL AVENU

City

Inspection Date : 10/02/2015

Inspection Type

Routine FC Underwater Special Other Х

Bridge Inspection Report

STRUCTURE NAME: BREA CANYON CHANNEL

CONSTRUCTION INFORMATION

Year Built : 1930 Year Widened: N/A Length (m) : 18.9

Skew (degrees): 45 No. of Joints : 0

No. of Hinges : 0

Structure Description: Simply supported 2-span CIP/RC T-beam (5 each) with an RC pier wall

and with RC open end diaphragm abutments, all supported upon

concrete piles.

Span Configuration : (W) 2 @ 9.1 m (E) c/c

SAFE LOAD CAPACITY AND RATINGS

Design Live Load: M-13.5 OR H-15

Inventory Rating: RF= 0.64

Operating Rating: RF= 0.83

Calculation Method: (LRFR) LD & RES FACT RATING

Permit Rating : PPPPP

Posting Load : Type 3: Legal Type 3S2:Legal

Type 3-3:Legal

Calculation Method: (LRFR) LD & RES FACT RATING

DESCRIPTION ON STRUCTURE

Deck X-Section: (S) 0.3 m br, 0.2 m cu, 9.2 m, 0.2 m cu, 0.3 m br (N)

Total Width: 10.1 m Net Width:

9.1 m No. of Lanes: 2

Speed: 55 mph

Min. Vertical Clearance: Unimpaired Overlay Thickness: 3.0 Inches

Rail Code: 0000

Rail Type	Location	Length	(ft)	Rail	Modifications	
Concrete	Right/Left	190				
Baluster				-		

DESCRIPTION UNDER STRUCTURE

Channel Description: Natural earth trapezoidal, RC rectangular through the site.

NOTICE

The bridge inspection condition assessment used for this inspection is based on the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Bridge Element Inspection Manual 2013 as defined in Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) federal law. new element inspection methodology may result in changes to related condition and appraisal ratings on the bridge without significant physical changes at the bridge.

The element condition information contained in this report represents the current condition of the bridge based on the most recent routine and special inspections. Some of the notes presented below may be from an inspection that occurred prior to the date noted in this report. Refer to the Scope and Access section of this inspection report for a description of which portions of the bridge were inspected on this date.

INSPECTION COMMENTARY

SCOPE AND ACCESS

The inspection was performed by walking on and under the bridge. There was about 1' of water in both spans; all elements were visually inspected. There is no shoulder and access to under the bridge was from northwest quadrant.

INSPECTION COMMENTARY

SUBSTRUCTURE

There was a tree growing at the top of the southside pier wall. And there was three vertical cracks in the wall.

SAFE LOAD CAPACITYA

Load Rating Summary Sheet dated 8/28/2015 is on file for this structure. While this report does not include a check of that analysis, it does verify that the structural conditions observed during this inspection are consistent with those assumed in that analysis. The current rating is based on LRFR calculation.

There were no significant defects noted. 27 Pile-RC 2 1 ea. 1 0 0 0 (227) The pile element is included to indicate the presence of piles on this structure. The piles were not exposed for visual inspection. No indication of pile distress was noted in any substructure element 256 Slope Protection 2 2 ea. 2 0 0 0	No.	Defect Def /Prot	Eect Element Description	Env	Total Qty	Units			ondition St. 3	
3220 Cracking-AC (WS) 2 50	16		Top Flange-RC	2	190	sq.m	190	0	0	0
There were no significant defects noted. (16-510-3220) There are 5 transverse cracks 0.5" wide and across the roadway. 110		510	Deck Wearing Surface-Asphalt	2	174	sq.m	124	0	50	0
There were no significant defects noted. (16-510-3220) There are 5 transverse cracks 0.5" wide and across the roadway. 110		322	20 Cracking-AC (WS)	2	50		0	0	50	0
There are 5 transverse cracks 0.5" wide and across the roadway. 110		were no si	gnificant defects noted.					16		
110 Girder/Beam-RC 2 95 m 95 0 0 0 (110) There were no significant defects noted. 210 Pier Wall-RC 2 14 m 14 0 0 0 0 (210) There were no significant defects noted. 215 Abutment-RC 2 36 m 36 0 0 0 (215) There were no significant defects noted. 227 Pile-RC 2 1 ea. 1 0 0 0 0 (227) The pile element is included to indicate the presence of piles on this structure. The piles were no exposed for visual inspection. No indication of pile distress was noted in any substructure element 256 Slope Protection 2 2 ea. 2 0 0 0 (256) There were no significant defects noted. 311 Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1										
(110) There were no significant defects noted. 210	There	are 5 tran	sverse cracks 0.5" wide and across th	e roadw	ay.					
There were no significant defects noted. 210 Pier Wall-RC 2 14 m 14 0 0 0 (210) There were no significant defects noted. 215 Abutment-RC 2 36 m 36 0 0 0 (215) There were no significant defects noted. 227 Pile-RC 2 1 ea. 1 0 0 0 (227) The pile element is included to indicate the presence of piles on this structure. The piles were no exposed for visual inspection. No indication of pile distress was noted in any substructure element 256 Slope Protection 2 2 ea. 2 0 0 0 (256) There were no significant defects noted. 331 Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1	110		Girder/Beam-RC	2	95	m	95	0	0	0
2 14 m 14 0 0 0 (210) There were no significant defects noted. 2 36 m 36 0 0 0 (215) There were no significant defects noted. 2 1 ea. 1 0 0 0 (227) The pile element is included to indicate the presence of piles on this structure. The piles were no exposed for visual inspection. No indication of pile distress was noted in any substructure element 256 Slope Protection 2 2 ea. 2 0 0 0 (256) There were no significant defects noted. 331 Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1	100,000,000,000,000									
There were no significant defects noted. 215 Abutment-RC 2 36 m 36 0 0 0 (215) There were no significant defects noted. 227 Pile-RC 2 1 ea. 1 0 0 0 (227) The pile element is included to indicate the presence of piles on this structure. The piles were no exposed for visual inspection. No indication of pile distress was noted in any substructure element 256 Slope Protection 2 2 ea. 2 0 0 0 (256) There were no significant defects noted. 331 Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1	There	were no sig	gnificant defects noted.							
There were no significant defects noted. 215 Abutment-RC 2 36 m 36 0 0 0 (215) There were no significant defects noted. 227 Pile-RC 2 1 ea. 1 0 0 0 (227) The pile element is included to indicate the presence of piles on this structure. The piles were no exposed for visual inspection. No indication of pile distress was noted in any substructure element 256 Slope Protection 2 2 ea. 2 0 0 0 (256) There were no significant defects noted. 331 Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1	210		n' w 11 na	2	14	m	14	0	0	0
(215) There were no significant defects noted. 227 Pile-RC 2 1 ea. 1 0 0 0 (227) The pile element is included to indicate the presence of piles on this structure. The piles were no exposed for visual inspection. No indication of pile distress was noted in any substructure element 256 Slope Protection 2 2 ea. 2 0 0 0 (256) There were no significant defects noted. 331 Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1	210		Pier Wall-RC	2				•		
There were no significant defects noted. 27 Pile-RC 2 1 ea. 1 0 0 0 (227) The pile element is included to indicate the presence of piles on this structure. The piles were no exposed for visual inspection. No indication of pile distress was noted in any substructure element 256 Slope Protection 2 2 2 ea. 2 0 0 0 (256) There were no significant defects noted. 331 Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1	(210)	were no sig								,
Pile-RC 2 1 ea. 1 0 0 0 (227) The pile element is included to indicate the presence of piles on this structure. The piles were no exposed for visual inspection. No indication of pile distress was noted in any substructure element Slope Protection 2 2 ea. 2 0 0 0 (256) There were no significant defects noted. Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1	(210) There	were no sig	gnificant defects noted.		00000000				0	0
(227) The pile element is included to indicate the presence of piles on this structure. The piles were no exposed for visual inspection. No indication of pile distress was noted in any substructure element 256 Slope Protection 2 2 2 ea. 2 0 0 0 (256) There were no significant defects noted. 331 Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1	(210) There 215		gnificant defects noted. Abutment-RC		00000000				0	0
The pile element is included to indicate the presence of piles on this structure. The piles were not exposed for visual inspection. No indication of pile distress was noted in any substructure element 256 Slope Protection 2 2 2 ea. 2 0 0 0 0 (256) There were no significant defects noted. 331 Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1	(210) There 215		gnificant defects noted. Abutment-RC		00000000				0	0
exposed for visual inspection. No indication of pile distress was noted in any substructure element 256 Slope Protection 2 2 ea. 2 0 0 0 (256) There were no significant defects noted. 331 Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1	(210) There 215 (215) There		gnificant defects noted. Abutment-RC gnificant defects noted.	2	36	m	36	0	· ·	
2 2 ea. 2 0 0 0 (256) There were no significant defects noted. Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1	(210) There 215 (215) There 227		gnificant defects noted. Abutment-RC gnificant defects noted.	2	36	m	36	0	· ·	
(256) There were no significant defects noted. 331 Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1	(210) There 215 (215) There 227 (227) The pi	were no sign	gnificant defects noted. Abutment-RC gnificant defects noted. Pile-RC is included to indicate the presence	2 2 of pil	36 1 es on t	m ea.	36	0 0	0 piles w	0 were not
There were no significant defects noted. 331 Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1	(210) There 215 (215) There 227 (227) The pi	were no sign	gnificant defects noted. Abutment-RC gnificant defects noted. Pile-RC is included to indicate the presence	2 2 of pil	36 1 es on t	m ea.	36	0 0	0 piles w	0 were not
331 Railing-RC 2 29 m 9 15 4 1	(210) There 215 (215) There 227 (227) The pi expose	were no sign	gnificant defects noted. Abutment-RC gnificant defects noted. Pile-RC is included to indicate the presence al inspection. No indication of pile	2 of pildistre	36 1 es on tess was	m ea.	36 1 ructure in any	0 0 e. The substru	0 piles w	0 Were not
2 23 3 13 1	(210) There 215 (215) There 227 (227) The pi expose	were no sign	gnificant defects noted. Abutment-RC gnificant defects noted. Pile-RC is included to indicate the presence al inspection. No indication of pile	2 of pildistre	36 1 es on tess was	m ea.	36 1 ructure in any	0 0 e. The substru	0 piles w	0 were not
1080 Delamination/Spall/Patched Area 2 20 0 15 4 1	(210) There 215 (215) There 227 (227) The pi expose 256 (256)	were no signature. Le element ed for visua	gnificant defects noted. Abutment-RC gnificant defects noted. Pile-RC is included to indicate the presence al inspection. No indication of pile Slope Protection	2 of pildistre	36 1 es on tess was	m ea.	36 1 ructure in any	0 0 e. The substru	0 piles w	0 were not
	(210) There 215 (215) There 227 (227) The pi expose 256 (256) There	were no signature. Le element ed for visua	gnificant defects noted. Abutment-RC gnificant defects noted. Pile-RC is included to indicate the presence al inspection. No indication of pile Slope Protection gnificant defects noted.	2 of pildistre	36 1 es on tess was 2	m ea.	36 1 ructure in any 2	0 0 e. The substru	0 piles wacture e	0 Vere not lement 0

WORK RECOMMENDATIONS

WORK RECOMMENDATIONS

RecDate: 05/06/2010 Action : Railing-Repair

Work By: LOCAL AGENCY

Status : PROPOSED

EstCost:

StrTarget: 2 YEARS

Repair the spalls (100 mm \times 75 mm \times 20 mm) in both side of concrete baluster

railings.

EA:

RecDate: 05/30/2007

Action : Railing-Repair Work By: LOCAL AGENCY

Status : PROPOSED

EstCost:

EA:

StrTarget:

DistTarget:

DistTarget:

2 YEARS

Repair the damaged rail.

The west end post of north is damaged; there was 1" wide vertical cracks from

top to the bottom of footing. It may

cause by vehicular hit.

There are about 56 cracks or spalls in

the concrete baluster railings.

Team Leader :

Mikhael T. Zaarour

Report Author :

Mikhael T. Zaarour

Inspected By :

MT.Zaarour / DH.Kim

Mikhael T. Zaarour No. 68212 09/30/2017 CIVIL

STRUCTURE INVENTORY AND APPRAISAL REPORT

	**************************************	************
(1)	STATE NAME- CALIFORNIA 069	SUFFICIENCY RATING = 61.1
(8)	STRUCTURE NUMBER 55C0122	STATUS
(5)	INVENTORY ROUTE (ON/UNDER) - ON 140000000	HEALTH INDEX 99.0
(2)	HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT 12	PAINT CONDITION INDEX = N/A
(3)	COUNTY CODE 059 (4) PLACE CODE 00000	******* CLASSIFICATION ******* CODE
(6)	FEATURE INTERSECTED- BREA CANYON CHANNEL	(112) NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH- YES Y
	FACILITY CARRIED- BREA CANYON BLVD.	(104) HIGHWAY SYSTEM- NOT ON NHS
(9)	LOCATION- 0.6 MI N/O CENTRAL AVENUE	(26) FUNCTIONAL CLASS- MINOR ARTERIAL URBAN 16
(11)	MILEPOINT/KILOMETERPOINT 0	(100) DEFENSE HIGHWAY- NOT STRAHNET 0
(12)	BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK- NOT ON NET 0	(101) PARALLEL STRUCTURE- NONE EXISTS N
(13)	LRS INVENTORY ROUTE & SUBROUTE	(102) DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC- 2 WAY 2
(16)	LATITUDE 33 DEG 56 MIN 23.13 SEC	(103) TEMPORARY STRUCTURE-
(17)	LONGITUDE 117 DEG 53 MIN 26.05 SEC	(105) FED.LANDS HWY- NOT APPLICABLE 0
(98)	BORDER BRIDGE STATE CODE % SHARE %	(110) DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK - NOT ON NET 0
(99)	BORDER BRIDGE STRUCTURE NUMBER	(20) TOLL- ON FREE ROAD 3
		(21) MAINTAIN- COUNTY HIGHWAY AGENCY 02
	******* STRUCTURE TYPE AND MATERIAL ******	(22) OWNER- COUNTY HIGHWAY AGENCY 02
(43)	STRUCTURE TYPE MAIN: MATERIAL- CONCRETE	, , , I DETOIDED
(44)	TYPE- TEE BEAM CODE 104 STRUCTURE TYPE APPR:MATERIAL- OTHER/NA	******* CODE
(44)		
(45)	Table 1 and 1 and 2 and	
	The Control of the Co	
	NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS 0	
	DECK STRUCTURE TYPE- CIP CONCRETE CODE 1	(61) CHANNEL & CHANNEL PROTECTION 8 (62) CULVERTS
	WEARING SURFACE / PROTECTIVE SYSTEM:	(02) COLUENTS
	TYPE OF WEARING SURFACE- BITUMINOUS CODE 6	******* LOAD RATING AND POSTING ****** CODE
	TYPE OF MEMBRANE- NONE CODE 0 TYPE OF DECK PROTECTION- NONE CODE 0	(31) DESIGN LOAD- M-13.5 OR H-15 2
C/	0022 0	(63) OPERATING RATING METHOD- (LRFR) LD & RES FA 8
	******* AGE AND SERVICE **********	(64) OPERATING RATING- RF= 0.83
	YEAR BUILT 1930	(65) INVENTORY RATING METHOD- (LRFR) LD & RES FA 8
	YEAR RECONSTRUCTED 0000	(66) INVENTORY RATING- RF= 0.64
(42)	TYPE OF SERVICE: ON- HIGHWAY 1 UNDER- WATERWAY 5	(70) BRIDGE POSTING- EQUAL TO OR ABOVE LEGAL LOADS 5
(28)	UNDER- WATERWAY 5 LANES:ON STRUCTURE 02 UNDER STRUCTURE 00	(41) STRUCTURE OPEN, POSTED OR CLOSED- A
	AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC 19000	DESCRIPTION- OPEN, NO RESTRICTION
	YEAR OF ADT 2009 (109) TRUCK ADT 2 %	******** APPRAISAL ********* CODE
	BYPASS, DETOUR LENGTH 2 KM	(67) CODUCTIONI DUNITARION
	**************************************	(68) DECK GEOMETRY
		(69) UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL N
130,000,000,000	LENGTH OF MAXIMUM SPAN 9.1 M STRUCTURE LENGTH 18.9 M	(71) WATER ADEQUACY 9
	STRUCTURE LENGTH 18.9 M CURB OR SIDEWALK: LEFT 0.2 M RIGHT 0.2 M	(72) APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT 8
	BRIDGE ROADWAY WIDTH CURB TO CURB 9.1 M	(36) TRAFFIC SAFETY FEATURES 0000
	DECK WIDTH OUT TO OUT 10.1 M	(113) SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES 8
	APPROACH ROADWAY WIDTH (W/SHOULDERS) 9.1 M	****** PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS *******
	BRIDGE MEDIAN- NO MEDIAN 0	
	SKEW 45 DEG (35) STRUCTURE FLARED NO	(75) TYPE OF WORK- MISC STRUCTURAL WORK CODE 38
	INVENTORY ROUTE MIN VERT CLEAR 99.99 M	(76) LENGTH OF STRUCTURE IMPROVEMENT 18.9 M
	INVENTORY ROUTE TOTAL HORIZ CLEAR 99.9 M	(94) BRIDGE IMPROVEMENT COST \$184,000
	MIN VERT CLEAR OVER BRIDGE RDWY 99.99 M	(95) ROADWAY IMPROVEMENT COST \$36,800
	MIN VERT UNDERCLEAR REF- NOT H/RR 0.00 M	(96) TOTAL PROJECT COST \$309,120
	MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR RT REF- NOT H/RR 0.0 M	(97) YEAR OF IMPROVEMENT COST ESTIMATE 2010
	MIN LAT UNDERCLEAR LT 0.0 M	(114) FUTURE ADT 41217
*	************ NAVIGATION DATA *********	(115) YEAR OF FUTURE ADT 2035
	NAVIGATION DATA NAVIGATION CONTROL- NOT APPLICABLE CODE N	********** INSPECTIONS *********
	PIER PROTECTION- CODE	(90) INSPECTION DATE 10/15 (91) FREQUENCY 24 MO
	NAVIGATION VERTICAL CLEARANCE 0.0 M	(92) CRITICAL FEATURE INSPECTION: (93) CFI DATE
	VERT-LIFT BRIDGE NAV MIN VERT CLEAR M	A) FRACTURE CRIT DETAIL- NO MO A)
20000000	NAVIGATION HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE 0.0 M	B) UNDERWATER INSP- NO MO B)
		C) OTHER SPECIAL INSP- NO MO C)